



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/916,271	07/30/2001	Chen-Ho Lee	4425-183	8683

7590 12/14/2004  
LOWE HAUPTMAN GILMAN & BERNER, LLP  
1700 Diagonal Road, Suite 310  
Alexandria, VA 22314

EXAMINER

QUIETT, CARRAMAH J

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

2612

DATE MAILED: 12/14/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

**Office Action Summary**

Application No.

09/916,271

Applicant(s)

LEE, CHEN-HO

Examiner

Carramah J. Quiett

Art Unit

2612

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

**Period for Reply**

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

**Status**

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 30 July 2001.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

**Disposition of Claims**

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-11 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-11 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

**Application Papers**

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☒ The drawing(s) filed on 30 July 2001 is/are: a) ☒ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.  
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

**Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119**

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some \* c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. \_\_\_\_\_.
3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
- \* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

**Attachment(s)**

- 1) ☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
- 2) ☐ Notice of Draftperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
- 3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  
Paper No(s)/Mail Date \_\_\_\_\_.
- 4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413)  
Paper No(s)/Mail Date. \_\_\_\_\_.
- 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
- 6) ☐ Other: \_\_\_\_\_.

## DETAILED ACTION

### *Claim Objections*

1. Claim 1 is objected to because of the following informalities: The second limitation of claim one is written as –reading out said pixel signals from said consecutive photocells of one said linear image sensor, without inserting said pixel signals *form* said other linear image sensor. This limitation should be written as reading out said pixel signals from said consecutive photocells of one said linear image sensor, without inserting said pixel signals *from* said other linear image sensor. Appropriate correction is required.

### *Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102*

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

3. Claims 1, 3-4, 6-7, and 9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Watanabe (U.S. Pat. #6,522,356).

As for **claim 1**, Watanabe teaches a method of reading pixel signals from a staggered sensor, in figures 9-11, said method comprising:

providing said staggered sensor which comprises at least two linear image sensors, wherein a plurality of photocells of one said linear image sensor are offset abutting with a

Art Unit: 2612

plurality of photocells of said adjacent linear image sensor respectively (fig. 9; col.8, line 45 – col. 9, line 21); and

reading out said pixel signals from said consecutive photocells of one said linear image sensor, without inserting said pixel signals from said other linear image sensor (fig. 11, col. 10, lines 10-63).

Regarding **claim 3**, Watanabe teaches the method of claim 1, wherein said photocells comprise a plurality of sensors of complementary metal oxide semiconductor. In col. 8, lines 45-51, Watanabe states that an X-Y scan reading type imaging apparatus is illustrated in fig. 9 with a plurality of pixels. X-Y scan reading type imaging apparatus inherently has a plurality of complementary metal oxide semiconductor (CMOS) sensors.

For **claim 4**, Watanabe further teaches the method of claim 1, wherein said reading out step is coordinated with at least a series of clock pulses (figs. 9-11; col. 9, line 22 – col. 10, line 63).

As for **claim 6**, Watanabe teaches a method of video output applicable on a multiple staggered sensor in a scanner, in figures 9-11, said method comprising:

providing at least two sensor rows in said multiple staggered sensor, each said sensor row consisting of a plurality of photocells (fig. 9; col.8, line 45 – col. 9, line 21);

reading a scan line with a plurality of pixels by one of said sensor row to generate a first consecutive video signals (fig. 11, col. 10, lines 10-63);

offsetting reading said scan line with said pixels by the other of said sensor row to generate a second consecutive video signals (fig. 11, col. 10, lines 10-63); and

outputting said video output consisting of at least said first consecutive video signals (fig. 11, col. 10, lines 10-63).

As for **claim 7**, Watanabe further teaches the method of claim 6, wherein said photocells of one said sensor row are offset abutting with said photocells of the other adjacent sensor row respectively (fig. 3A; fig. 9; col. 6, lines 50-61; col.8, lines 45-64).

Regarding **claim 9**, Watanabe further teaches the method of claim 6, wherein said photocells comprise a plurality of sensors of complementary metal oxide semiconductor. In col. 8, lines 45-51, Watanabe states that an X-Y scan reading type imaging apparatus is illustrated in fig. 9 with a plurality of pixels. X-Y scan reading type imaging apparatus inherently has a plurality of complementary metal oxide semiconductor (CMOS) sensors.

Lastly for **claim 10**, Watanabe teaches the method of claim 6 wherein said video output further comprises said second consecutive video signals (fig. 11, col. 10, lines 10-63).

#### ***Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103***

4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

5. Claims 2, 5, 8, and 11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Watanabe (U.S. Pat. #6,522,356) in view of Inuiya (U.S. Pat. #5,982,984).

As for **claim 2**, Watanabe fails to teach a method wherein said photocells comprise a plurality of charge-coupled devices (CCDs). However, he discusses a basic filter arrangement of a CCD in the background of his disclosure (col. 1, line 36 – col. 2, line 30).

Inuiya discloses photocells comprising a plurality of charge-coupled devices (CCDs). In figure 1, Inuiya illustrates three CCDs (refs. 14R, 14G, 14B). Then, in figure 19, he illustrates the readout of the CCDs. In col. 20, lines 29-62, Inuiya discloses two horizontal transfers, which allows pixel signals to be read out from the consecutive photodiodes of the sensors, without inserting the pixel signals from the other sensor. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to implement Watanabe's invention with the CCDs of Inuiya in order to improve the quality of the recorded image (Inuiya, col. 1, line 20 – col. 2, line 8).

For **claim 5**, Watanabe does not teach the method of claim 1, wherein said reading out step is followed by outputting said pixel signals from said consecutive photocells of one said linear image sensor into an analog/digital converter. Inuiya, on the other hand, illustrates an analog/digital converter (ref. 16) in figure 1 via the CDS circuits (ref. 15). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to implement Watanabe's invention with the A/D converter of Inuiya in order to improve the quality of the recorded image (Inuiya, col. 1, line 20 – col. 2, line 8).

For **claim 8**, the claim is very similar to the limitation in claim 2. Therefore, claim 8 is analyzed and rejected as discussed in claim 2.

For **claim 11**: the claim is very similar to the limitation in claim 5. Therefore, claim 11 is analyzed and rejected as discussed in claim 5.

### ***Conclusion***

6. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure:

Art Unit: 2612

U.S. Patents

Tomiooka (#6,803,947)

A video camera that reads out even line and odd lines of sensor elements separately without being mixed.

Ang (#6,388,241)

CMOS image sensor readout pixels in parallel and packed modes

Hashimoto (#5,018,006)

Method of reading out staggered pixels with multiple imaging plates.

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Carramah J. Quiett whose telephone number is (703) 305-0566.

The examiner can normally be reached on 8:00-5:00 M-F.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Wendy Garber can be reached on (703) 305-4929. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

C.J.Q.  
Dec. 13, 2004



NGOC-YEN VU  
PRIMARY EXAMINER